

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/521,715	SEIDEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kamal A. Saeed	1626	

All Participants:

(1) Kamal A. Saeed.

(2) Richard E. Henderson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

9 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In claim 9, at the end of the claim delete "." and insert ---, wherein the triazole derivative is present in the form of powders, pastes, dusts and granules.----

In claim 11, at the end of the claim delete "." and insert---, wherein the triazole derivative of the resultant composition is in the form of powders, pastes, dusts and granules.---- .